UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 3/19/2021
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: : :	
-V-	· :	20-cr-0464 (LJL)
MALIK DEJESUS,	: :	ORDER
Defendant.	:	<u>ONDER</u>
	: X	

LEWIS J. LIMAN, United States District Judge:

Defendant Malik DeJesus moves, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(b)(3) and 41(f), to suppress physical evidence against him obtained as a result of a search and seizure conducted by his New York State Parole officer and the New York City Police Department on February 20, 2020. At the time of the search, DeJesus was subject to supervision on parole from his state court conviction. DeJesus claims that the evidence, which was obtained as a result of the search and of a subsequent search pursuant to a search warrant, was the fruit of an unconstitutional search of his apartment.

The parties agree that the appropriate standards are those forth in *Samson v. California*, 547 U.S. 843 (2006), *United States v. Barner*, 666 F.3d 79 (2d Cir. 2012), *United States v. Grimes*, 225 F.3d 254 (2d Cir. 2000), and *People v. Huntley*, 371 N.E.2d 794 (N.Y. 1977). The question of whether the search is reasonable turns on "whether the conduct of the parole officer was rationally and reasonably related to the performance of the parole officer's duty." *Barner*, 666 F.3d at 84 (quoting *Huntley*, 371 N.E.2d at 797). A defendant is entitled to a hearing if the papers raise a "sufficiently definite, specific, detailed, and nonconjectural" factual basis for the motion. *United States v. Pena*, 961 F.2d 333, 339 (2d Cir. 1992) (citation omitted).

## Case 1:20-cr-00464-LJL Document 19 Filed 03/19/21 Page 2 of 2

Defendant has provided such a basis for his motion.

Accordingly, the Court grants the portion of the motion requesting a suppression hearing.

Dkt. No. 14. The Court will hold the hearing on April 7, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2021

New York, New York

LEWIS J. LIMAN

United States District Judge